REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-32 remain in this application. Claims 5, 11, 13 and 32 were amended to correct informalities. No new matter has been introduced.

Claims 1 and 30-32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayakawa et al. (US Patent 6,064,338).

Claims 2-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayakawa et al. (US Patent 6,064,338) in view of Scherzer (US Patent 6,347,234).

Claims 4-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayakawa et al. (US Patent 6,064,338) in view of Scherzer (US Patent 6,347,234) and further in view of Teder et al. (US Patent 5,544,156). Applicant traverses these rejections. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Specifically, *Kobayakawa* does not teach or suggest, among other things, "adjusting the transmitting power at the transmitter in dependence on the power control information item," "estimating the behavior of the transmission channel," and "estimating the transmitting power needed based on the result of the estimation of the behavior of the transmission channel" as recited in claim 1 and similarly recited in claim 31.

Kobayakawa discloses control of adaptive array antennas (AAA) where a searcher (3) searches for chip synchronization timings and delay-time adjustment data by a correlation operation using matched filters, obtains a correlation signal from the signal received from each antenna element, and calculates a vector and a matrix necessary to perform adaptive control of the antenna using the correlation signals and inputs the vector and matrix to the adaptive weight calculating unit 4 (col. 6, lines 37-45). The adaptive weight calculating unit 4 calculates adaptive weights from the entered vector and matrix and a beam former 5 applies amplitude control and phase rotation control based upon the calculated weights to the signals of the selected paths prior to despreading, combines the results and outputs the resulting signal to the Rake receiver 6. As a result of this operation, the path arrival direction of a user signal is estimated from the correlation signals and the signals received by each of the antennas are multiplied by the weights at arbitrary times in such a manner that the antenna beam is pointed in the direction estimated from this information, thereby pursuing the user (col. 6, lines 45-57). Thus, Kobayakawa does not adjust

651389/D/1 9

the <u>transmitting power</u> at the transmitter in dependence on the power control information item, but instead makes adjustments to the <u>transmitting direction</u> of the antenna.

Also, *Kobayakawa* does not estimate the behavior of the transmission channel as asserted in the Office Action. Particularly, FIG. 7 discloses the process executed by the searcher and AWC unit for in-phase control (col. 10, lines 60-63), where the path selector 32 directly finds the correlation signal of each of the antenna elements that has the largest power to establish a start timing for a transmission path (col. 10, line 65 – col. 11, line 6). Accordingly, *Kobayakawa* also does not teach estimating the transmitting power needed based on the result of the estimation of the behavior of the transmission channel. As a result, *Kobayakawa* also does not teach the other claim elements (predictive power control) recited in claims 1 and 31 that rely on these features. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) are improper and should be withdrawn.

The *Scherzer* and *Teder* references do not solve the deficiencies of the *Kobayakawa* reference discussed above. As *Kobayakawa* does not teach the elements recited in claims 1 and 31, it follows that the rejections for all the claims that depend therefrom must also fall. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103 are improper and should be withdrawn.

In light of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that claims 1-32 of the present application are both patentable over the art of record, and respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. A petition for a two-month extension of time, along with a check in the amount of \$450 is enclosed herein. If any additional fees are due in connection with this application as a whole, the Examiner is authorized to deduct said fees from Deposit Account No.: 02-1818. If such a deduction is made, please indicate the attorney docket number (0112740-315) on the account statement.

651389/D/1 10

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

· I ____

Reg. No. 48,196 P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135

Phone: (312) 807-4292

Dated: January 24, 2005